



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/658,417	09/10/2003	Chishio Hosokawa	OHTN: 006B	3198	
6160 7	590 06/14/2004		EXAMINER		
PARKHURST & WENDEL, L.L.P.			DAVIS, BRIAN J		
1421 PRINCE STREET SUITE 210		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
ALEXANDRIA	ALEXANDRIA, VA 22314-2805			1621	
			DATE MAILED: 06/14/2004	DATE MAILED: 06/14/2004	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary		10/658,417	HOSOKAWA ET AL.			
		Examiner	Art Unit			
		Brian J. Davis	1621			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).  Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).						
Status		•				
1)⊠ Res <sub>l</sub>	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>24 March 2004</u> .					
<u>'=</u>	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.					
, <del></del>	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims						
4) ☐ Claim(s) 3-5,8,10,12 and 13 is/are pending in the application.  4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.  5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed.  6) ☐ Claim(s) 3-5,8,10,12 and 13 is/are rejected.  7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to.  8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.						
Application Papers						
9) <u></u> The s	specification is objected to by the Exa	miner.				
10) <u></u> The o	drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)	accepted or b) objected to by the	e Examiner.			
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 09/945,633.  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 9/10/03.  S. Patent and Trademark Office						

Art Unit: 1621

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

### Election/Restriction

Applicant's election of the species having the core structure (1-10) and wherein A<sup>5-8</sup> are each individually biphenyl is acknowledged. Applicant argues that there is no indicated reason why all claimed subject matter cannot readily be examined at the same time. Applicant's argument has been carefully considered, but is not persuasive.

The examiner respectfully directs applicant's attention to paragraphs 1 and 2 of the election/restriction requirement where it is stated that applicant's claims are generic to a plurality of patentable distinct species. This is the basis of the election/restriction requirement and it was made in order to facilitate the reasonably complete and thorough search to which applicant is entitled. The election/restriction was proper and is hereby made FINAL.

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 3, 8, 12 and 13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. Due to a lack of adequate punctuation or indentation, the proviso clauses are so unclear as to be incomprehensible. The examiner respectfully suggests that the provisos be listed individually and using

Art Unit: 1621

language which clearly and unambiguously delineates the excluded set(s) of compounds, such that the metes and bounds of the claim as a whole are clearly set forth.

It is also unclear how A and B may represent core structures (1-10) and (1-11). The examiner respectfully suggests a slight rewording to make it clear that the core structures are not simply definitions for variable substituents A and B, but are in fact definitions for A and B and the central biphenyl structure.

Finally, "Group comprising" is an improper Markush group. *Ex parte Dotter*, 12 USPQ 382 (POBA 1931). The chemical structure of the compounds must be clearly and unambiguously defined using "closed" language, so that the metes and bounds of the set of compounds defined by the Markush language are clearly and unambiguously defined. ("Open" language is permissible, however, in the description of the structure of the device into which the instant compounds are incorporated.)

The remaining claims are also rejected under 35 USC 112, second paragraph, as claims which depend from indefinite claims are also indefinite. *Ex parte Cordova*, 10 USPQ 2d 1949, 1952 (PTO Bd. App. 1989).

## Allowable Subject Matter

The elected species has been searched and is deemed free of the prior art. The search was therefore expanded as called for under current Office Markush practice – a compound-by-compound search – to include a single additional species. That species

Art Unit: 1621

is defined when: A is not present and  $B = -(CH_2)_2$ -;  $A^{5-8} =$  phenyl substituted in the para position with Et. A rejection follows.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 3-5, 8, 10, 12 and 13, in so far as they read on the species defined above, are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being clearly anticipated by JP 62280850 (CAPLUS abstract). The reference teaches applicant's compound: RN=113933-89-4.

### Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Brian J. Davis whose telephone number is 571-272-0638. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:30-5:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Johann Richter can be reached on 571-272-0646. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Page 5

Art Unit: 1621

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

/ ) PRIMARY EXAMINER
Brian J. Davis

June 9, 2004